6.205

Sequential Logic II: Sequential Logic Timing Intro to Finite State Machines

Administrative

- Week 02's content is due tomorrow
- Week 03's comes out on Thursday

Think Like a Hardware Engineer

- Many programming constructs/patterns are done to help you, the person, rather than reflect the underlying hardware design
- Part of becoming a good hardware-focused engineer is learning how to give the machine what it wants.
- For example...object oriented programming. Who is that really for?



https://fpga.mit.edu/6205/F24

Consider this task: Data Deserializer

- Single bits come in **lsb-first*** one after the other on a clock.
- Module assembles them into 8-bit bytes and sends them out



*in SPI in lab, data is transferred msb first

The solution must obviously involve an Indexable array

- What are you assuming?
- What functionality/capability can be stripped away?
- Are you doing what you're doing...
 - for you? (not right answer)
 - or the FPGA? (*right answer*)

logic [7:0] buffer; logic [2:0] buffer_ind; always_ff @(posedge clk)begin buffer[buffer_ind] <= bit_in; buffer_ind <= buffer_in +1; end

Oh but I gotta take care of wrap-around

```
logic [7:0] buffer;
logic [2:0] buffer_ind;
always_ff @(posedge clk)begin
   buffer[buffer_ind] <= bit_in;
   if (buffer_ind > BUFFER_LIMIT)begin
      buffer_ind <= 0;
   end else begin
      buffer_ind <= buffer_in +1;
   end
end
```

• Oh but it backwards

```
logic [7:0] buffer;
logic [2:0] buffer_ind;
always_ff @(posedge clk)begin
   buffer[7-buffer_ind] <= bit_in;
   if (buffer_ind > BUFFER_LIMIT)begin
      buffer_ind <= 0;
   end else begin
      buffer_ind <= buffer_in +1;
   end
end
```

6 hours later...

• You've gotten this gross functionality working but it may not be beneficial for the problem at hand.

What do indexable arrays give us?

- "Random" Access to an array (in other words, at any point in time I can access/modify any element of the array)
- As we'll see, large-scale Randomly accessible memory is a burden. You do not want to use it unless you need to!
- Do we need that here?

Reconsider this task: Data Deserializer

- The bits are coming in in order of lsb-first
- They're only ever going to go in one place, and we'll use them in order they come in....we don't need array access.



Make a queue or fifo-like structure

• Just push the data in as it comes in

```
logic [7:0] buffer;
always_ff @(posedge clk)begin
    buffer <= {buffer[6:0], bit_in};
end
```

• Oh is that backwards? No biggie...

```
logic [7:0] buffer;
always_ff @(posedge clk)begin
    buffer <= {bit_in, buffer[7:1]};
end
```

"SHIFT BUFFER"....a simple FIFO for bits!

We should also not mistake short verilog for "good" verilog

/ and %

- We've done nothing in this class so far that needs these two operators.
- In the land of digital design, / and % should be avoided at all costs until they are absolutely needed.
- They are *extremely expensive* operations to perform
 - We'll see how expensive they are in future lectures and labs.
- No need to use these for a cycle counter

The Cycle Counter from Lab 01

- Build a thing that starts at zero and counts up to a number, then goes back to 0.
- Every clock cycle you are asking this thing to perform 32 bit integer division and find the remainder....that is a monumental task to just count a number and wrap it around

Simpler, Cheaper

• A 32 bit add, a 32 bit compare, an if/else

Now in some cases...

 The tools may be able to optimize an atrocious line like this one for you, but that can depend on things it knows...and it doesn't know all the stuff you know.

The tool doesn't really know that count_out will never be greater than period_in...it will likely synthesize a device that can do % for all possible count_out start values.

9/18/24

And at the very least...

- The closer your Verilog matches what should get built, the less you're asking of the tool.
- Tools will always let you down so you want to rely on them as little as possible.

Simulation vs. Reality

- / and % may work in simulation, but likely not in real life.
- Be aware of that.
- You can compute pi to 1000 digits "instantaneously in simulation"...that does not mean it can be done in real life

Asynchronous vs. synchronous reset

• There's very little reason to have an asynchronous reset in our class, especially right now

Asynchronous vs. synchronous reset

• Just have the flip flop sensitivity list be the positive edge of the clock

Only thing clocking a Flip Flop should be our high speed clock

• Do not have numerous sequential numerous blocks all being clocked by different signals

```
HORRIBLE, BAD, DO NOT DO
```

```
always_ff @(posedge a)begin
   //stuff
end
always_ff @(posedge b)begin
   //other stuff
end
always_ff @(posedge c)begin
   //other other stuff
end
```

Can make simulations mismatch reality Can make designs not meet timing and fail Will be cludge code that will hurt you

INSTEAD DO

```
always_ff @(posedge clk)begin
    if (a)begin
        //stuff
    end else if (b) begin
        //other stuff
    end else if (c) begin
        //other other stuff
    end
end
```

Reliable Design Practice Simulations more likely to match reality Timing easier to meet

Or if you really need things to happen on the "edge" of a non-clock signal...

• Remember old signal values and compare

```
always_ff @(posedge clk)begin
    old_a <= a;
    old_b <= b;
    old_c <= c;
    if (a && !old_a)begin //on the rising edge of a
        //stuff
    end else if (b && !old_b)begin //on the rising edge of b
        //other stuff
    end else if (c && !old_c) begin //on the rising edge of c
        //other other stuff
    end
end
```

Clocks are Special

- Clock signals get special treatment inside the FPGA
- Get to priority routing, go down special "clock lines" to minimize skew (future class)
- Making lots of signals "clocks" can cause congestion and the entire design to fail

Sequential Logic

Registers, Latches, and Flip-Flops

- The terminology is a mess for historical reasons and just people in general, including myself. Here's one interpretation:
- A "register" is something that holds a value. Flip-flops and Latches *are* registers
- Further confusing the situation, people, including myself, often use "register" or "reg" to just refer to flip-flops Use a lot!
 Won't use as mu



D Flip-Flop Registers Give Us A Few Critical Capabilities

- We can store values for later use (simple memory)
- We can sample values at precise times
 - A rising edge is as close to a delta-function like event as we can get
- We can design in stages:
 - Allow us to non-destructively limit signal propagation which prevents:
 - Combinational loops (last week)
 - Glitches (today)

All Electronics are Non-Ideal

- Inherent to the logic is the need to charge and/or discharge parasitic capacitances and inductances through non-0 value resistances
- As 8.02, or 6.200/6.002 will have shown, this has an inherent time constant involved with it
- ...meaning a finite time at which it will respond given a change
- Obviously we don't want this, but we didn't want Coronavirus either. What are you going to do? So it goes

When one digital circuit drives another digital circuit

• Inputs change outputs...but takes time



The more complex/more layers/the more delay you'll get



- Each individual "stage" needs to charge up/down before it can influence the next stage.
- Very complicated/deep logic will take time

It'll take time to transition

- Response of a function will take time (and energy)
- So if we move around on a truth table it can't be instantaneous



Digital Delays

- For a given digital device, we need to quantify the delay
- Utilize two different numbers:
- For a given change at the inputs to a digital system:
 - Contamination Delay (t_{cd}): How long before the system will start to respond at its output?
 - **Propagation Delay (** t_{pd} **):** How long until we can be sure the system has updated to new value (stabilized)?

The Combinational Contract





Best Case:

Contamination delay(t_{CD}):

A lower bound on the delay from invalid inputs to invalid outputs



Review: Example System

i_0

i 1[.]

- Let's assume:
 - m_a has t_{pd} = 3ns
 - m_b has t_{pd} = 1ns
 - m_c has t_{pd} = 2ns
 - m_d has t_{pd} = 5ns
 - All four modules have t_{cd} = Ons
- What is:

9/18/24

- i_0 to o_1 t_{pd}?
- i_1 to o_1 t_{pd}?
- t_{cd} of system?
- Critical Path of the system and t_{pd} ?



ma

mb

m_c

m_d

▶ 0_1

Timing Diagram



 The t_{pd} on any stage/module can't start being used until all inputs to it are set/stable:




ma

i 0



- If all you see is o_1 how can you actually determine what is valid and what isn't?
- Is that 1'b1 on o_1 valid or invalid? Who knows?
- Unless you know when you put in values and know the total t_{pd} of system very hard to discern what is good and what isn't.

Another Way to Look At Problem

- You have a system, takes in two numbers, a_in and b_in and produces an output.
- System calculates square root of a_in and then adds b_in to it Until t_{pd}=150ns passes, what shows up here is invalid



Another Problem (being a real downer today, I know...)

• Consider simple addition in binary (or any base):

11 10110	Math checks out:
+00011	22 + 3
11001	

- Notice how we need to calculate the lower digits first before we calculate the upper digits?
- Uh Oh...

Timing Diagram of Add

• Lots of invalids before the valid add!



What if we then had this circuit?



Combinational Glitches!

- Combinational glitches arise when outputs transition through unintended outputs in response to transitioning inputs
- Caused by differences in overall OR internal delays of logic





System should always have 1 as output, but during transitions from $0 \rightarrow 1$ or $1 \rightarrow 0$, b_out will glitch to 0.

Glitches Will Happen

- Inherent with complex and DEEP combinational logic!
- Perform calculations on irrelevant information
 - Waste energy
 - Very hard to debug
- Extremely difficult to design with reliably at scale
 - Too many related time constants
 - Too many invalid values
- Our only hope is to encode our data in glitchminimizing ways and limit the range that combinational glitches can propagate (next up)

Delays

- In modern digital devices the modules work so fast that their t_{pd} and t_{cd} are not the only concern...



Interconnects can have as much or <u>more</u> delay than elements

- Vivado will calculate delays for us (we will use it)
- But still have combinational issues! (glitches), various propagation delays!

Glitches can be hard to find

- Let's say t_{pd} = 1ns (conservative)
- Human is the consumer
- You push the button...
 - System stabilizes before the photons emitted from the LEDs have even reached your eye
 - Human eye can only detect up to ~0.01s phenomena...lol 6 or 7 orders of magnitude difference
- Basically we can't appreciate the glitches...but they can be there.

So How to Fix this?

- Every combinational circuit has delays regarding how slowly (or quickly) its outputs change in response to inputs, and this varies based on design/complexity
 - t_{cd} minimum time input takes to start to change output
 - t_{pd} maximum time input takes to finish changing output





```
not_gate_a nga(.val_in(inputt), .val_out(o1));
not_gate_b ngb(.val_in(inputt)), .val_out(o2));
xor_gate xg (.vala_in(o1), .valb_in(o2), val_out(o3));
```

assign outputt = o3;

This is How We Fix This

 Registers let us isolate/limit signal propagation and synchronize stages



CLK is a synchronization signal

Solution 1

• Balance output



Combinational Logic At Output

• There still could be slight differences in register to **XOR** routing



Intermediate glitches are minimized and suppressed in output



Previous page:





```
logic inputt, outputt;
logic o1, o2, o3;
logic o1r, o2r;
not_gate_a nga(.val_in(inputt), .val_out(o1));
not_gate_b ngb(.val_in(inputt)), .val_out(o2));
always_ff@(posedge clk_in)begin
    o1r <= o1;
    o2r <= o2;
end
xor_gate xg (.vala_in(o1r), .valb_in(o2r), val_out(o3));
assign outputt = o3;
```

This is How We Fix This

 Registers let us isolate/limit signal propagation and synchronize stages



CLK is a synchronization signal

Remember about Delays in Logic

 Registers let us isolate/limit signal propagation and synchronize stages



Intermediate Glitches

• Even though that glitch is now internal, the fact that it happens means the XOR is now cycling for

no reason...



May not be happy with having large internal logic needlessly flipping bits for no reason











Add more

 Registers let us isolate/limit signal propagation and synchronize stages



CLK is a synchronization signal

Remember about Delays in Logic

 Registers let us isolate/limit signal propagation and synchronize stages



Intermediate glitches are minimized and suppressed in output





```
togic inputt, outputt;
logic o1, o2, o3;
logic o1r, o2r;
not_gate_a nga(.val_in(inputt), .val_out(o1));
not_gate_b ngb(.val_in(inputt)), .val_out(o2));
always_ff@(posedge clk_in)begin
    o1r <= o1;
    o2r <= o2;
    output <= o3;
end
xor_gate xg (.vala_in(o1r), .valb_in(o2r), val_out(o3));
```

Tradeoff for all this "protection"?

- More resources
- More latency

Design Complex Logic In Stages!



- D flip-flops regulate signal propagation!
- Design complex logic systems in stages
- Worry only about affects of delays (t_{pd} and t_{cd}) and glitches within a given stage, rather than how they all interplay!

Is that All there is To It?

- No. No there's not
- Let's return to how Latches and Flip Flops actually work

The D Latch

- Made of gates (which are made of ullettransistors, which are made of sand(currently))
- Something different though...what is ۲ it?

"latch" means it holds whatever value was already present...basically: "Previous Q"



Е	D	Q	\overline{Q}
0	0	latch	latch
0	1	latch	latch
1	0	0	1
1	1	1	0

https://www.allaboutcircuits.com/textbook/digital/chpt-10/d-latch/

E = "Enable" D = "Data"

Q = not sure,but it is the output 63

https://fpga.mit.edu/6205/F24

The D Latch Provides Memory!

- 1. Set E=1
- 2. Set your D value
- 3. Set E=0
- 4. Whatever D was is stored at Q forever until E is 1 again!
- 5. Can we do better/different?



Ε	D	Q	Q
0	0	latch	latch
0	1	latch	latch
1	0	0	1
1	1	1	0

The D Flip-Flop (Reg)

Two D-Latches in Series driven with opposite enable signals

Data propagates through first D Latch



CLK LINE is LOW

The D Flip-Flop (Reg)

Two D-Latches in Series driven with opposite enable signals



The Result: the D Flip-Flop

• The edge-triggered D register: on the rising edge of CLK, the value of D is saved in the register and then appears shortly afterward on Q.





Example: 74LS74 internals When you simplify some common/redundant logic between the two stages, you get to about ~25 transistors

https://fpga.mit.edu/6205/F24



t_{PD}: maximum propagation delay, @posedge CLK D \rightarrow Q *Maximum* time it takes for Q to change after rising edge of CLK

t_{CD}: minimum contamination delay, @posedge CLK D \rightarrow Q *Minimum* time it takes for Q to start to change after rising edge of CLK

t_{SETUP}: setup time

How long D must be stable **before** the rising edge of CLK

t_{HOLD}: hold time

How long D must be stable **after** the rising edge of CLK

 New timing attributes for registers

9/18/24





https://fpga.mit.edu/6205/F24

D Register Timing Conclusions

 $t_{\text{PD,reg}}$, $t_{\text{SETUP,reg}}$, $t_{\text{CD,reg}}$, $t_{\text{HOLD,reg}}$, and $t*_{\text{CD,logic}}$ are all roughly fixed/ unchangeable



Design Complex Logic In Stages!



- Design complex logic systems in stages
- Worry only about effects of delays (t_{pd} and t_{clk}) within a given stage, rather than how they all interplay!
Single Clock Synchronous Discipline

- The timing requirements are already complicated enough with one clock. Avoid multiple clocks at all cost! <u>DO NOT clock flip flops on non-clock lines.</u>
- Single Clock signal shared among all clocked devices (one clock domain)
- Only care about the value of combinational circuits just before rising edge of clock
- Clock period greater than every combinational delay
- Change saved state after noise-inducing logic changes have stopped!

Sequential Circuit Timing Assume input is also coming from a clocked system



- Minimum clock period?
- Constraints on $t_{CD,L}$?
- Setup, Hold times for System Input?

This is a simple Finite State Machine (next lecture)

Minimum Clock Period? Assume input is also coming from a clocked system



- 1. Rising Clock Edge Shows up...
- 2. It is $t_{PD,Reg}$ = 3ns until flop has finalized changing
- 3. It is then additional $t_{PD,L}$ = 5ns until logic has finalized changing and starts sending data back to flop
- 4. That change must be done at least $t_{SETUP,Reg}$ = 2ns before the next rising clock edge



- 1. Rising Clock Edge Shows up...
- 2. It is $t_{CD,Reg}$ = 1ns until flop output starts changing
- 3. It is then additional $t_{CD,L}$ = ? Until feedback wire starts changing
- 4. That change cannot be happening until at least $t_{HOLD,Reg}$ = 2ns has passed from clock edge

Setup Time for Inputs of Whole System?



- 1. Input signal comes in
- 2. It is $t_{PD,L}$ = 5ns until comb logic has processed it and it is fed back...
- 3. That change must be done at least $t_{SETUP,Reg}$ = 2ns before the next rising clock edge

Hold Time for System Input?



- 1. Clock rises
- 2. Input signal comes in
- 3. It is additional $t_{CD,L}$ = 1ns until comb logic starts to feed back...
- 4. That change must be done at least $t_{HOLD,Reg}$ = 2ns after the prior rising clock edge

Sequential Circuit Timing Assume input is also coming from a clocked system



- Minimum clock period?
- Constraints on t_{CD,L} ?
- Setup, Hold times for Inputs?

This is a simple *Finite State Machine* ...